

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERS
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,159	12/30/2003	Richard Jackow	Jackow - 1	7912
7:	590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Mr. Walter J. Tencza Jr.			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
Suite 3 10 Station Place	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Metuchen, NJ 08840			3751	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/750,159	0/750,159 JACKOW, RICHARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
,	David J. Walczak	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 De</u>	ecember 2003.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stag	le				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa		ı				

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because phrases which can be implied, such as "is disclosed" should not be present therein. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 7 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: On line 2 of these claims "Toothpaste" should be --toothpaste--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 4, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evans. In regard to claims 1 and 4, Evans discloses an apparatus comprised of a toothpaste container portion 14 and a toothbrush portion 22 attached to the container portion wherein the toothbrush portion is comprised of a plurality of hollow bristles 22

Art Unit: 3751

through which toothpaste can flow. In regard to claims 8 and 11, the method as claimed is inherent in the manufacture of the Evans device.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fey. In regard to claims 1, 2, 3 and 5, Fey discloses a toothpaste container portion 13 threadedly attached to a toothbrush portion 15 wherein toothpaste can flow from a chamber in the container portion to a chamber 17 in the toothbrush portion. In regard to claims 8, 9, 10 and 12, the method as claimed is inherent in the assembly of the Fey device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6, 7, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fey in view of Evans. Although the bristles in the Fey toothbrush are not disclosed as being hollow, attention is directed to the Evans reference, which discloses another toothpaste dispensing toothbrush wherein the bristles 22 are hollow in order to enable the toothpaste to be dispensed directly to the tips of the bristles.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such hollow bristles onto the Fey device in order to enable a user to apply toothpaste directly to the tips of the bristles.

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Giuliani et al. and Curtis et al. references are cited for disclosing other toothbrushes having hollow bristles and the Moore et al. and O'Connell et al. references are cited for disclosing other toothbrushes having threadedly connected container portions and toothbrush portions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 9/17/04